

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,718	02/12/2004	Jessica Murillo	AUS920030937US1	5935	
	7590 01/22/200 NAL CORP (BLF)	8	EXAMINER		
c/o BIGGERS	& OHANIAN, LLP		HOANG, DANIEL L		
P.O. BOX 1469 AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/777,718	MURILLO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel L. Hoang	2136	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicati</b> 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a complex and are specification to the drawing specification to the Replacement drawing specification is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine are specification to the Replacement drawing specification is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine are specification to the specification of the control of the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine are specification in the control of t	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is the letton	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receivenu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/777,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments in response to the previous action's 112 rejections have been considered and are persuasive. Said rejections have been properly withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 Applicant argues the following:

A. Adams does not disclose determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission, wherein the proxy permission has at least one associated proxy rule.

B. Adams does not disclose granting access to the computer resource in dependence upon the proxy rule.

In response to A., examiner respectfully disagrees. Paragraph 16 of Adams cites "By utilizing the social network data based on a set of defined rules, various access levels may be automatically configured for each user... the access levels may follow a rule-set based on the type and/or frequency of interaction between the users." Examiner believes this to be analogous to what is currently claimed by applicant. The previous action's art rejections are maintained.

In response to B., examiner respectfully disagrees. As can be seen in figs. 2, 3A, and 3B, various access levels are determined based on social data such as the number of emails sent from the user to another user. Based on that data, access is granted to the shared resource. Examiner believes this to be analogous to what is currently claimed by applicant. The previous action's art rejections are maintained.

#### **CLAIMS PRESENTED**

Claims 1-27 are presented.

## **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-x are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al., US PGP No. 20020124053.

# As per claim 1, 10, and 19, Adams teaches:

A method for controlling access to a computer resource, the method comprising: receiving from a requesting entity a request for access to the computer resource;

[see paragraph 0023] "The shared resource provider acts as a gateway to check the ACL and provide the appropriate level of access to the user(s) attempting to access the shared resource." determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission, wherein the proxy permission has at least

[see paragraph 0015 and 0017]

granting access to the computer resource in dependence upon the proxy rule.

[see paragraph 0017]

one associated proxy rule; and

# As per claim 2, 11, and 20, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the proxy rule comprises at least one condition required for granting access to the computer resource.

[see paragraph 0017] Examples of conditions include, number of occurrences of particular keywords, and user's interest in shared resources.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,718

Art Unit: 2136

Page 4

As per claim 3, 12, and 21, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 2 wherein the condition has a plurality of possible states.

[see paragraph 0017] As per the example in claim 2, examples possible states are the specific number of occurrences or the actual level of interest in the shared resource, ie. higher or lower

interest.]

As per claim 4, 13, and 22, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission further comprises finding, in dependence upon a requesting entity identification, an access control entry in an

access control list for the computer resource.

[see paragraph 0022]

As per claim 5, 14, and 23, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining that the requesting entity has a proxy permission further comprises finding, in dependence upon a requesting entity identification, a proxy permission record in a proxy permission table.

[see paragraph 0022]

As per claim 6, 15, and 24, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 5 further comprising reading a proxy permission indicator from a data structure representing the resource.

[see paragraph 0022]

As per claim 7, 16, and 25, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 5 further comprising reading a proxy permission indicator from an access control list for the resource.

[see paragraph 0022]

Application/Control Number: 10/777,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 8, 17, and 26, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the proxy rule comprises one or more conditions required for granting access to the computer resource and granting access to the computer resource based on the proxy rule further comprises: determining whether the conditions of the proxy rule are met; and permitting access to

the computer resource if the conditions of the proxy rule are met.

[see paragraph 0017]

As per claim 9, 18, and 27, Adams teaches:

The method of claim 8 wherein each condition has a plurality of possible states and granting access to the computer resource based on the proxy rule further comprises evaluating the states of the conditions.

[see paragraph 0017]

CONCLUSION

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,718

Art Unit: 2136

### POINTS OF CONTACT

Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

\*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be
obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,
see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel L. Hoang 1/14/07 NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

1/16/08